



# Polysemy of Temporal Preposition in Kurdish Journalism

Jihan Nidhamalddin Abdulsamad

Department of English Language,

College of Basic Education, Salahaddin  
University-Erbil, Iraq

jihanabdulsamad82@gmail.com

Anjuman Muhammad Sabir

Department of English Language,

College of Education, Salahaddin  
University-Erbil, Iraq

anjuman.sabir@su.edu.krd

## Abstract

This paper aims to analyze the polysemy of the Kurdish preposition 'in' for a time from the cognitive linguistic approach called 'image schemas' that is used in this study. Kurdish prepositions are used to deal with issues collected from digital Kurdish newspapers in the field of a sports football field, such as Hawler and Khabat. The study aims to identify the polysemy of prepositions in Kurdish journalism, analyze the meanings and function of polysemy of prepositions, and find differences in the frequencies of various polysemy of the time preposition 'in'. The data is analyzed to determine the most common types of image schemas that can reflect the identification of polysemy of time preposition 'in' in Kurdish from the above-mentioned sources. Finally, the study shows the most common and effective types of image schemas like container, part-whole, blockage, movement-along-path, support, scale, and as well as collections of image schemas and merging of image schemas to show that polysemy of the time preposition 'in' has two or more distinct related meanings. It is concluded that a preposition's core sense is determined in part by its image schema. It has been discovered that several image schemas may all play a role in the extension and/or construction of meaning for this temporal preposition. Furthermore, it is also concluded that the most frequently used preposition in both digital Kurdish newspapers in the field of sport is the temporal preposition among other prepositions.

**Keywords:** Polysemy, Preposition, Cognitive Semantics, and Journalism.

Received: 5/7/2024

Accepted: 12/8/2024



## Introduction

The Kurdish language shares many of the same principles and characteristics as the English language; yet, the Kurdish language is not given the same priority as the English language. This issue arises from the harsh circumstances that Kurdish people have had to live in, making it impossible for Kurdish writers to serve their language and conduct adequate research on it based on their capability. The first book written in Kurdish, entitled “Muxteserû Serfu Nehuû Kurd,” was published in (1928) by (Saeid Sidqy Kaban), in which it did not adequately serve the Kurdish language (Abbas & Sabir, 2020, p. 107).

According to Ali (1958:144), the preposition is a term that is used before a noun, pronoun, or gerund to show that word's connection with another word in the sentence. According to Muhammad (2003: 47), preposition is one of the independent parts of speech that is used in the sentence. It is used to show the relationship of nouns with the other parts of speech. Prepositions are often independent in the sentence. Prepositions in Kurdish Language are: *bo, bê, le, ta, taku, heta, u, Û, bizwên Û kûrt (e), Ş|îŞ, legel, and letek* (Abbas & Sabir, 2020, p. 107). Regarding the meaning of prepositions in the Kurdish language, “prepositions cannot be used independently. They give no meaning when they are alone, but they give meaning when they are used in the sentence. Each preposition has its own purpose and meaning, and the meaning of preposition changes according to the dialects and ages” (Fakhri & Mokriani, 1982, p. 192-193; Abbas & Sabir, 2020, p. 107).

In addition, According to Amin (1960: 199), a preposition is a word that can come before a noun, pronoun, or adjective to indicate how those words relate to other words in the phrase. Also, Muhammad (1993: 43) describes a preposition as a function word in his thesis, “Preposition and Postposition in Kurdish.”

- a- Having a sentence's entity and connecting function.
- b- That precedes or is joined to a noun.
- c- That and its complement combine to form a grammatical unit or phrase.
- d- That never has another preposition before it The only element of a complicated preposition that gets dropped after a simple preposition is the first one; this rule only applies to simple prepositions.
- e- A morpheme is made up of certain simple prepositions and their postpositions.
- f- The Kurdish Academy defines a preposition as a word class with an independent entity, the meaning of which is expressed when it is used in a sentence and with other words, according to their book “preposition.” It illustrates how a noun and its modifier(s) relate to each other as well as to another word (Hasan, 2006, p. 43-44).

Moreover, a preposition is defined as “it is a means used to convey the meaning and connect the words in the sentence as necessary. Many times, the prepositions have other functions besides their assigned ones” (Fakhri & Mokriani, 1982, p. 190-191; Dizayee, 2014, p.74).

It is possible to distinguish between primary and non-primary prepositions, or prepositions that arise from one of the following combinations: i) a primary preposition combined with another lexical unit, such as a substantive or an adverb ii) the grammaticalization of other lexical elements, like substantives, as an example for example). Furthermore, there are two subclasses of primary prepositions: simple absolute and prepositional prepositions. As one can see, some of these prepositions exhibit two phonetic variations that Mackenzie (1961) refers to as “simple” and “absolute.” The absolute variant is intensified, whereas the basic variant experiences proclisis, and lacks lexical stress. It is possible to see the relationship between the two versions as an allomorphic variation caused by the complement's clitic vs non-clitic (non-affixal) realization (Mackenzie, 1961; Samvelian, 2007, p. 237).

In addition, prepositions are one of the most significant linguistic categories in any language because they have the power to alter the course of a conversation when people use them to communicate with one another,



whether orally or in writing. They have a variety of purposes, therefore learning how to use them effectively can be challenging because there aren't many guidelines that specify which prepositions to use in a situation. A definition of a preposition expresses a relationship between two entities, one of which serves as a complement of the preposition, and the other one is part of the phrase or sentence. It indicates a relationship in space (between one object and another), and/or a relationship in time (between events), and/or a more abstract relationship (as indicated by states in love) (Johnson, 1987, p. xvi).

As discussed by Tyler and Evans (2003), researchers have traditionally sought to account for the semantics of English prepositions from three general perspectives: A homonymy perspective assumes that English prepositions have various senses that are unrelated. This contrasts with a monosemy perspective according to which each preposition has a single highly abstract meaning. The polysemy perspective, on the other hand, assumes that prepositions have different yet related meanings. In addition, polysemy is the association of one word with two or more distinct meanings, and polysemy is a word or phrase with multiple meanings. The term polysemy is used in linguistics as a means of categorizing and studying various aspects of languages. Like many words used to categorize languages, polysemy is a mixture of Latin and Greek and means literally 'many meanings.' The opposite of a polysemy is a heterosemy, which means the word has only a single meaning.

### **Polysemy**

The notion that a single word form can have several meanings is a widely recognized aspect of language. Polysemy is the term used to describe this phenomenon, which is common in natural languages. Moreover, in general linguistics, Micheal Bréal (1924 [1897]) was the first to introduce the term 'polysemy' ('polysemie') to describe single-word forms with several different meanings (cf. Nerlich 2003). For Bréal, polysemy was primarily a diachronic phenomenon, arising as a consequence of semantic change (Gruyter, 2003, p. 268; Falkum, 2011, p. 12). The linkage of two or more related meanings with a single phonological form is known as polysemy meanings of linguistic units are in place. Metaphoric polysemy is the most well-known kind of polysemy, and it typically results from metaphor as a diachronic process. Both are predicted. The definition assumes that methods for accurately recognizing, describing, and counting are a rather striking resemblance between two concepts that are part of distinct or even unrelated mental realms. Furthermore, a term does not necessarily signify "something in common" or that all of its senses are related when we say it is polysemous. Instead, polysemy should be thought of as a network or chain of senses (Gruyter, 2003, p. 268, 272).

Furthermore, Apresjan (1973) distinguished between two types of polysemy: regular and irregular. Regular polysemy is a characteristic of metonymy, whereas irregular polysemy is a part of metaphor extensions. Meanwhile, Ceccarelli (1998) distinguishes three types of polysemy depending on the way the term is used not theoretically. Although critics usually fail to differentiate among these three types. Crossroads (2017), despite the two types (Radial where one sense is more central than the others, and Chain or concatenation secondary meanings of a word develop like a chain), presents two other new kinds of polysemy. The first one is polysemy with broadening of secondary meanings and the second one is polysemy with narrow meanings. While monocentric polysemy (requires only one original meaning) and polycentric polysemy (two or more semantic centers involved).

### **Image Schemas**

One of the most well-known and ancient theories in computer science is the theory of image schemas. This theory emerged from Lakoff (1987a) and Johnson (1987) (see Johnson, 2005, p. 15). Johnson discovered that none of these things could be accomplished without human imagination when attempting to investigate the function and relationship of meaning in comprehension and reasoning (Johnson, 1987, p. ix). Johnson asserted



that the human body is fundamental to the source of meaning, meaning is embodied. Prior to him, objectivists paid little attention to the function of humanness—having a body, human nature, human experience, etc. (see, Johnson, 1987, p. ix). He defines an image schema as a ‘recurring dynamic pattern of our perceptual interactions and motor programs that gives coherence and structure to our experience’. To him, experience includes ‘basic perceptual, motor-program, emotional, historical, social, and linguistic dimensions’. Thus, it ‘involves everything that makes us human—our bodily, social, linguistic, and intellectual being combined in complex interactions that make up our understanding of our world’ (Johnson, 1987, p. xvi).

The goal of image schema theory is to demonstrate how human physical contact with his living and inanimate surroundings gives rise to meaning, imagination, and reason—symbols of human intelligence. Furthermore, visual schemas aid in the understanding and concretization of abstract ideas by humans (Johnson, 2005, p. 15, 16). According to him (p. 18), “the bodily sensory-motor nature of various structures of our conceptualization and reasoning” carries out this reification. In summary, we can claim that we can experience the same thing with abstract concepts by analogy using our experience with physical items. re, image schemas serve as a bridge between language knowledge and perceptual information. Coherent structures are formed out of language knowledge through concepts, categories, and prototype models. The psychological concepts of figure and ground, respectively, are referenced by the ideas of the trajector and landmark, which are important in the semantic description of prepositions (Brenda, 2014, p. 10).

Furthermore, human embodied experience directly affects human cognition, according to cognitive theory. Cognitively, this embodied experience takes the shape of image schemas, which are basic ideas like CONTACT, CONTAINER, BALANCE, etc. that are closely associated with human perceptual experience (Brenda, 2014, p. 11 ). This has been widely accepted. Lakoff and Johnson (1987) first highlighted the part of the sensory experience that is related to the body in image schemas: “Image schemas are relatively simple structures that constantly recur in our everyday bodily experience: CONTAINER, PATHS, LINKS, BLOCKAGE, FORCES, BALANCE, and in various orientations and relations: Verticality (UP-DOWN), FRONT-BACK, PART-WHOLE, CENTRE-PERIPHERY, etc.” (Lakoff 1987:267; Brenda, 2014, p. 12).

## Methodology

### Data Collection:

Kurdish prepositions used to deal with five issues based on dates of issuing for each Kurdish newspaper that was collected from two below-mentioned digital Kurdish newspapers taken from the sports field of football section, such as Hawler and Khabat. Such prepositions reflect the way of identifying polysemy. In the current paper, we specifically deal with identifying polysemy of the temporal preposition ‘له’ (in).

### Procedure:

Polysemy of the temporal preposition ‘in’ in the Kurdish language was gathered from the above-mentioned sources. This polysemy of prepositions was categorized according to the image schema. It is analyzed according to the types of image schemas, which focus on their similarities and differences. For such preposition, two versions of translation are offered, one of them is a literal translation/ surface meaning and the other one is an expanded English translation/ deep meaning. For the Kurdish learner of English, however, prepositions are anything but straightforward. Prepositions are difficult, if not impossible, to define without using other prepositions. Therefore, this paper tries to indicate polysemy of the time preposition ‘in’, that ‘in’ can indicate a period: The following statements are the description of the image schemas used for determining polysemy of the temporal preposition ‘in’ taken from the above-mentioned Kurdish newspapers:

(١) پێشمه‌رگه‌ی هه‌ولێر تاكه‌ يانه‌يه له‌م وه‌رزه‌ كه‌مترین یاری دۆران‌دوووه‌ كه‌ زۆرتري‌نه‌، چه‌مچه‌مال تاكه‌ يانه‌يه زۆرتري‌ن



يارى يه كسان بووه چوارده ياريكردوو له ههوتى يارييدا يه كسان بووه، دواى تپه پوونى پازده گهه له ۱۰۵ يارييدا ۲۰۴ ..... (Hawler/ 9<sup>th</sup>-Jan.-2023/Football/ Issue (3783))

Lit./ Peshmerga of Hawler only club the is season this in the least games lost that most the Chamchamal individual club the most games equal was fourteen games seventh game the in equal was, after passed round eleven in 105 games the 204 goals scored is, ....

Exp./ Hawler's Peshmerga is the only club to have lost the least number of games that is the most, Chamchamal is the only club to have drawn the most number of games, drawing 14 in the seventh game was draw, scoring 204 goals in 105 games after passing fifteen rounds, .....

#### Collection of schemas: Container schema and scale schema

In the first part of the sentence, 'پيشمه رگه ي ههولير تاكه يانه يه لهه وه رزه كه مترین يارى دۆراندوو كه زۆرتينه' the preposition 'له' indicates a general notion of time that is defined by a general frame of the year which is the 'ئهه وه رزه' (this season). The analysis of the temporal preposition 'له' (in) expresses a collection of schemas; the container schema and the scale schema, that deals with a "combination of image schemas co-exist to describe a concept, distinct from their properties. These image schemas are not merged, they are simply grouped together to capture the conceptualization of the concept; that is, they provide relevant properties for the overall schema" (Hedblom, 2020, p. 105). Concerning the container schema, it indicates that the time preposition 'in' by using the container schema expresses a relation between two entities: a Trajector (TR) and a Landmark (LM). In 'پيشمه رگه ي ههولير تاكه يانه يه لهه وه رزه كه مترین يارى دۆراندوو', the 'پيشمه رگه ي ههولير' is the TR, while the 'ئهه وه رزه' is the LM of the preposition. Saeed (200: 358) says that the container schema can be used to investigate the semantics of the preposition 'in'. Thus, the container schema can be used to show that the time preposition 'in' is polysemous in nature. It denotes the relationship between an inside/interior 'پيشمه رگه ي ههولير تاكه يانه يه' and an outside/exterior 'ئهه وه رزه' and the border in between 'له' (in). Therefore, 'پيشمه رگه ي ههولير تاكه يانه يه' /TR is contained within the LM /'ئهه وه رزه'. At the same time, the preposition 'له' in 'پيشمه رگه ي ههولير تاكه يانه يه لهه وه رزه كه مترین يارى دۆراندوو كه زۆرتينه' indicates the scale schema that shows a distinct meaning of the preposition in, in which Hamawandi (2023, p. 130) states that "a scaling schema refers to an increase or decrease in one thing that causes or correlate with an increase or decrease in another thing". Thus, the preposition 'له' (in) indicates the process of measuring the degree of winning the game by the only team of 'پيشمه رگه ي ههولير' is increased rather than being decreased backward throughout the season of performing the game/match. Hence, the meaning of the preposition 'له' in the first part is different and clearly shows different related meanings of the same preposition.

له مۆنديالى ۲۰۲۲ ۱۵ قه ته به تپيكي لاوه وه به شداريان (۲) رۆژنامه ي ليكيپ باسى له وهش كردوو، كه ئه مريكا كرده بوو، ئامانجى ئه وه يان هه يه له مۆنديالى ۲۰۲۶ ئاستيكي باشت ر پيشكهش بكه ن، ..... (Hawler/ 9<sup>th</sup>-Jan.-2023/Football/ Issue (3783))

Lit./ Newspaper Likip mentioned that also, that America in Cup 2022 the, Qatar team young participated they had, a goal they had they did so of in Cup 2026 the level better present, ...

Exp./ Likip newspaper also mentioned that the United States of America who participated in the 2022 World Cup of Qatar with a young team, aims to perform better in the 2026 World Cup.

#### Merging of schemas: Container-Linked-path schema

In this statement, 'ئه مريكا له مۆنديالى ۲۰۲۲' gives a general notion of time that is defined by the general frame of the year '2022'. The analysis of the temporal preposition 'له' expresses the merging of schemas through dealing with a container-linked-path schema that deals with "the link between the container schema and the path schema. The contained object moves along a path. Also, the contained entity can move inside the



container (Drozdowicz, 1998, p.58). Thus, the contained entity 'ئەمریکا' moves (is moved) along the path 'قەتەر بە تییکی لاوهوه' can move inside the container entity which is 'the years (2022)'. This means that 'له' is polysemous because it has a different meaning according to the time analyzed by the above-mentioned image schemas.

(۳) بۆ زانین، یانە یوڤیتتوس، بەشیوہیەکی نھپنی لەگەڵ پۆنالڈۆ گەیشتە پیککەوتن بۆ کەمکردنەوهی مووچەکە ی لە (Hawler/ 6<sup>th</sup>-Feb.-2023/Football/ Issue (3799)) سالی ۲۰۲۰ کە سالی بۆبوونەوهی فایرۆسی کۆرۆنا بوو.

Lit./ For now, club Juventus, in the form of a secret with Ronaldo arrived agreement to decrease salary in the year 2020 the of year spreading various coronavirus.

Exp./ To know, Juventus have secretly reached an agreement with Ronaldo to decrease his salary in 2020, which was the year of the spread of the coronavirus.

#### Movement-along-path schema

In this statement, 'لهگەڵ پۆنالڈۆ گەیشتە پیککەوتن بۆ کەمکردنەوهی مووچەکە ی لە سالی ۲۰۲۰ کە سالی' gives a general notion of time that is defined by general frame of the year '2020'. The analysis of the temporal preposition 'له' expresses the use of movement-along-path which is the root of the path-following schema that realizes the source-path schema because it has a start-path and a path, but no end-path (Hedblom, 2020, p. 65). Thus, the process of decreasing the salary of 'پۆنالڈۆ' is changed along the path 'سالی بۆبوونەوهی فایرۆسی کۆرۆنا بوو' within the year '2020'. This means that 'له' (in) is polysemous because it has a different meaning according to the time analyzed by the above-mentioned image schema.

(۴) .... ھەرچەندە سەلاح لێ ماوهی رابردووش ئامانجی چەندی یانەکە ی دیکە ی گەورە ی ئەوروپا بوو، بەلام جیھیشتی (Hawler/ 6<sup>th</sup>-Feb.-2023/Football/ Issue (3799)) یانەکە ی پەتکردووہتەوه.

Lit./ However Salah in the period past aimed his several clubs in other large Europe had, but left the team rejected.

Exp./ Though Salah has aimed to be involved with several other European clubs in the past period, he has rejected the idea of leaving his team.

#### Support schema

In this statement, 'ھەرچەندە سەلاح لێ ماوهی رابردووش ئامانجی چەندی یانەکە ی دیکە ی گەورە ی ئەوروپا بوو،' gives a general notion of time that is defined by the general frame of the time which is 'ماوهی رابردوو'. The semantic analysis of the preposition 'له' (in) deals with the support schema to show that the temporal preposition 'له' (in) is polysemous in nature, in which the support schema refers to the process of 'the team of Salah's goal to join another large European team' plays a role in supporting Salah's idea of rejection towards leaving his team within an identified period of the past time. This means that 'له' (in) is polysemous because it has a different meaning according to the time of doing the action by the doer.

(۵) نەورۆز لە ۲۱ یاری ۲۳ گۆلی کردوو و ۲۵ گۆلی لیکراوه، ۷ بردنەوه و ۵ یەکسان و ۹ دۆرانی ھەیه، بە ۲۶ خال لە خانە ی دوازدەھەم دیت، لێ یاری ئەمرۆ تا رادەھەکی زۆر ئەرکی ئاسانە، چونکە سناە ئاست و ئەنجامی وەک پیتویست نییە، و لە ناوچە ی دابەزینە، لە ۲۱ یاری تەنھا ۱۳ خالی ھەیه و لە خانە ی نۆزدەھەم دیت.

(Hawler/ 2<sup>nd</sup>-April-2023/ Football/ Issue (3825))

Lit./ Newroz in 21 of the game the 23 goals scored and 25 goals conceded, 7 wins 5 draws and 9 defeats have, by 26 points in cell twelfth comes, in the game today to an extent very duty easy because Sinaha level and result like enough not, and in area of descend, in 12 games only 13 points has and in cell nineteenth comes.

Exp./ Newroz team has scored 23 goals and conceded 25 goals, 7 wins, 5 draws, and 9 losses. It is in the twelfth



position with 26 points, in today's game, it is a relatively easy task because Sinaha is not performing well in level and result, and in the relegation area with only 12 points from 21 games, and is in the nineteenth position.

#### Collection of schemas: Container schema and scale schema

In this statement, 'نه ورۆز له یاری ئه مرۆ تا پاده به کی زۆر ئه رکی ئاسانه' the temporal preposition 'له' (in) gives a general notion of time that is defined by a general frame of time which is 'ئه مرۆ' (today). The analysis of the temporal preposition 'له' expresses a collection of schemas; the container schema and the scale schema, that deals with a "combination of image schemas co-exist to describe a concept, distinct from their own properties. These image schemas are not merged, they are simply grouped together to capture the conceptualization of the concept; that is, they provide relevant properties for the overall schema" (Hedblom, 2020, p. 105). Concerning the container schema, it indicates the relation between two entities: a Trajector (TR) and a Landmark (LM). Also, the container schema can be used to show that the temporal preposition 'له' (in) is polysemous, in which this type of schema is formed in the mind based on human body experiences. It consists of three parts: inside, outside, and border dividing the first from the second (Hamawand, 2023, p. 127). Thus, 'نه ورۆز' is the TR/inside, while the 'یاری ئه مرۆ' is the LM/outside of the preposition that is the border between them. Regarding the scale schema, "it refers to an increase or decrease in one thing that causes or correlates with an increase or decrease in another thing" (Hamawand (2023, p. 130). This means that the time preposition 'in' is polysemous, in which the preposition 'له' (in) indicates the increased scoring of goals by 'نه ورۆز' rather than the decreased scoring of the opposite team 'سناعه'. Hence, the meaning of the time preposition 'in' shows different related meanings of the same preposition according to the types of image schemas that are dealt with.

(6) پۆژنامهی مۆندۆ دیپورتیفۆی ئیسپانی نزیك له یانهی بهرشلۆنه، ئاشکرای کرد، که لیونیل مێسی له چهند رۆژێکی (6) Hawler/ 21st-May-2023/ Football/ Issue (3855)( Lit./ Newspaper Mondo Depurative Spanish near from club Barcelona, declared that Lionel Messi several days few futures, fate of his and the club's future determine

Exp./ The Spanish Mondo Depurative newspaper has declared that Lionel Messi will decide his fate and the next club in the next few days.

#### Collection of schemas: Container schema and part-whole schema

In the second part of this statement, the temporal preposition 'له' (in) indicates an unspecified period that is defined by a general frame of time which is the 'چهند رۆژێکی کهمی داهاتوودا' (the next few days). The semantic analysis indicates that the temporal preposition 'له' (in) deals with the collection of schemas: the container schema and part-whole schema. Firstly, the container schema expresses a relation between two entities: a Trajector (TR) and a Landmark (LM). Saeed (200: 358) says that the container schema can be used to investigate the semantics of the preposition 'له' (in). Thus the containment schema can be used to show that the time preposition 'له' (in) is polysemous. It denotes the relationship between an inside/interior and an outside/exterior and the border in between 'له' (in). This shows that 'لیونیل مێسی' is the TR/interior, while the 'چهند رۆژێکی کهمی داهاتوودا' is the LM/exterior of the preposition 'له' (in). Hence, the TR is contained within the LM. Secondly, the preposition 'له' (in) deals with the part-whole schema that shows a distinct meaning of the preposition in, in which the determination process for the destiny of Messi within the (next few days) 'چهند رۆژێکی کهمی داهاتوودا' has become part of the next team. This indicates that 'له' (in) is polysemous because it has a different meaning. After all, the part-whole schema is less prototypical for the category structure of 'له' (in) than the containment schema.

(7) پوشکاش ئارینا له بوداپیستی ههنگاریا، ئه و یاریگایه ی میوانداری یاری کۆتایی یۆرۆپالیک دهکات، له م یاریگایه سیقییای ئیسپانی و رۆمای ئیتالیا مملاتی بردهوهی ناسناوه که دهکهن، ئه م یاریگایه له سالی 2019 دروست کراوه و



شويىنى نزيكهى ۷۰ ھەزار ھاندەر دەبىتەو، يەكەم يارى كە لە پوشكاش ئارينا بەرپۆه چوو لە ۱۵ى نۆقىمبەرى ۲۰۱۹ (Hawler/ 21st-May-2023/ Football/ Issue (3855) بوو ئەو كاتە ھەنگارىا ميواندارى ئۆرۆگواى كرد. )

Lit./ Pushkash Arena in Budapest, Hungary, that stadium hosts the game final Eurobalik, in this stadium Sevia of Spanish and Roma of Italy struggle to take an identity, this stadium in the year 2019 constructed, and place of approximately 70 fans includes, the first game in Pushkash Arena managed on 15 of November of 2019 was that time Hungary hosted Uruguay did.

Exp./ Pushkash Arena in Budapest, Hungary, the stadium that hosts the Eurobalik final game, in this stadium, the Spanish Sevia and Rome of Italy struggled to win the identity, this stadium was constructed in 2019 and it can accommodate about 70,000 fans, the first game managed in Pushkash Arena on 15<sup>th</sup> November 2019 when Hungary hosted Uruguay.

#### Collection of schemas: Container schema and support schema

ئەم يارىگايە لە سالى ۲۰۱۹ دروست كراو و شويىنى نزيكهى ۷۰ ھەزار ھاندەر، 'دەبىتەو' the time preposition 'in' gives a general notion of time that is defined by a general frame of time which is '۲۰۱۹' (the year 2019). This analysis of the temporal preposition 'لە' (in) expresses a collection of schemas; the container schema and the support schema, that deals with a "combination of image schemas co-exist to describe a concept, distinct from their properties. These image schemas are not merged, they are simply grouped together to capture the conceptualization of the concept; that is, they provide relevant properties for the overall schema" (Hedblom, 2020, p. 105). Concerning the container schema, it indicates the relation between two entities: a Trajector (TR) and a Landmark (LM). Also, the container schema can used to show that the temporal preposition 'لە' (in) is polysemous, in which this type of schema is formed in the mind based on human body experiences. It consists of three parts: inside, outside, and border dividing the first from the second (Hamawand, 2023, p. 127). Thus, 'پوشكاش ئارينا، ئەم يارىگايە' is the TR/inside, while the 'سالى ۲۰۱۹' is the LM/outside of the preposition that is the border between them. In the second part of this statement, 'يەكەم يارى كە لە پوشكاش ئارينا بەرپۆه چوو لە ۱۵ى نۆقىمبەرى ۲۰۱۹ بوو' gives an identified notion of time that is defined by an identified frame of the time which is '۲۰۱۹ى نۆقىمبەرى ۱۵'. The semantic analysis of the preposition 'لە' (in) deals with the support schema to show that the preposition 'in' is polysemous, in which the support schema refers to the stadium 'پوشكاش ئارينا' play a main role to support the idea of achieving and managing the match between two teams within this identified period team. This means that 'لە' (in) is polysemous because it has a different meaning according to the time of the action.

(۸) پۆژنامەى رىازەى سعوودىە: كرىستيانو رۆنالدىۆ بەھۆى ئەو پىكانەى لە رانيدا تووشى بوو، ... و لە يارى پابردووش بەرامبەر ئىتيفاق ھەر بەھۆى ئەو پىكانە لە خولەكى ۸۴ داواى لە پاهىنەر كرد كە چىتر ناتوانىت بەردەوام بىت لە (Hawler/ 30th-May-2023/ Football/ Issue (3854) يارىكردن. )

Lit./ Newspaper sports Saudi: Cristiano Ronaldo because of an injury the leg has been infected, ... and in a game last the opposite Etifaq is also because of injury the in the minute the 84 asked from a trainer that could not he continue in playing.

Exp./ Saudi Sports Newspaper: because of his thigh injury Cristiano Ronaldo has been infected, in the 84<sup>th</sup> minute of the last game against Etifaq, he asked the coach that he is no longer able to continue playing.

#### Blockage schema

ھەر بەھۆى ئەو پىكانە لە خولەكى ۸۴ داواى لە پاهىنەر كرد كە چىتر ناتوانىت بەردەوام بىت 'لە يارىكردن' the time preposition 'لە' gives an identified notion of time that is defined by an identified period which is 'خولەكى ۸۴' (the 84 minutes). This analysis of the temporal preposition 'لە' (in) expresses a blockage schema that "captures the understanding that the movement can be hindered (Hedblom, 2020, p. 40). Between





the two entities: a Trajector (TR) and a Landmark (LM). 'کریستیانۆ رۆنالدۆ بههۆی ئەو پێکانە ی له پانیدا تووشی' is the TR, while the 'خوله کی ٨٤' (the 84 minutes) is the LM of the preposition. This represents that the stoppage of 'کریستیانۆ رۆنالدۆ' is physically being blocked because of the injuries on his thigh of his leg to keep on playing after the 84 minutes of the match. This means that 'له' (in) is polysemous because it has a different meaning according to the time of the action.

(٩) راهینه ره فەرهنسییه که که له مانگی حوزهیرانی سالی رابردوووه بهرپرسی سه رپهرشتیکردنی یانه ی نه سر بووه، هیوادارم بهردهوام بیت له کاره پیشه گهرییه کانی به به شداریکردنی ئەو ئەستیره به لجیکییه ی که سه رپهرشتی راهینه کانی (Khabat/ 8th-Jan.-2023/ Football/ Issue (6450)( Lit./ Trainer French the that in the month June of the year last in charge of club Al-Nasr has been, wish I continue he in career his by participating that star Belgian that supervised the training in season 2010-2011 when the trainer of club Lille was.

Exp./ The French coach, who has been in charge of the Al-Nasr club since June last year, I hope he continues in his professional work with the participation of that Belgian star who supervised the training in the 2010-2011 season when he was the coach of Lille club.

#### Container schema and Support schema

In the first part of this statement, 'راهینه ره فەرهنسییه که که له مانگی حوزهیرانی سالی رابردوووه بهرپرسی' the time preposition 'له' gives an identified notion of time that is defined by an identified period which is 'مانگی حوزهیرانی' (the month 'June' of the last year). Whereas, in the second part of the statement, 'به شداریکردنی ئەو ئەستیره به لجیکییه ی که سه رپهرشتی راهینه کانی کردوو له وه رزی ٢٠١٠-٢٠١١' the temporal preposition 'له' (in) gives an identified notion of time that is defined by an identified period which is 'وه رزی ٢٠١١-٢٠١٠' (the season of 2010-2011). This scene can be represented in Figure No. 1 which is set by the researcher.

2010

2011

به شداریکردنی ئەو ئەستیره به لجیکییه ی که سه رپهرشتی راهینه کانی کردوو له وه رزی ٢٠١١-٢٠١٠  
کاتیکی راهینه ی یانه ی لیل بووه

Source: This figure is set by the researcher

Regarding the first part of the statement, the semantic analysis of the time preposition 'له' deals with the container schema, in which it indicates the relation between two entities: a Trajector (TR) and a Landmark (LM). 'راهینه ره فەرهنسییه که' is the TR, while the 'مانگی حوزهیرانی سالی رابردوو بهرپرسی سه رپهرشتیکردنی یانه ی' is the LM of the preposition. Saeed (200: 358) says that the container schema can be used to investigate the semantics of the preposition 'in'. Thus, the container schema is used to show that the temporal preposition 'له' (in) is polysemous in nature. It denotes the relationship between an inside/interior 'راهینه ره' and an outside/exterior 'نه سر بوو' and the border in between 'له' (in). Therefore, TR is contained within the LM.

While, in the second part of the statement 'به شداریکردنی ئەو ئەستیره به لجیکییه ی که سه رپهرشتی راهینه کانی کردوو له وه رزی ٢٠١١-٢٠١٠' the semantic analysis of the temporal preposition 'له' (in) deals with the supported schema, in which it refers to the professional works of the French trainer 'راهینه ره فەرهنسییه که' that are supported by the participation of the Baljican Star 'به شداریکردنی ئەو ئەستیره' within the limited period (the season of 2010-2011). This means that 'له' (in) is polysemous because it has a different related meaning according to the time of doing the action.



(۱۰) پۆژنامه نووسی که ته لۆنی خافی میگیل ئاشکرای کرد که یاریزانانی به رشه لۆنه له سپۆرت سیتی سهیری تیروپشکه که بیان کرد و چه پله بیان لیدا دواي ئه وهی ریال مه درید وهک رکابه رییک له قۆناغی پیش کۆتایی جامی پاشا ده رکهوت. (Khabat/ 1st-Feb.-2023/ Football/ Issue (6465))

Lit./ Journalist Catalan Xavi Migel has revealed that players of Barcelona in the sports city watched the victory and clapped after that Real Madrid-like competitor on stage before the final Cup Pasha appeared.

Exp./ The Catalan journalist Xavi Migel has revealed that Barcelona players watched the lottery and clapped after Real Madrid appeared as a competitor at Sport City in the semi-final of the Pasha Cup.

#### Container schema

In the second part of this statement 'دواي ئه وهی ریال مه درید وهک رکابه رییک له قۆناغی پیش کۆتایی جامی پاشا' 'ده رکهوت' the time preposition 'له' (in) gives a general notion of time that is defined by a general period which is 'قۆناغی پیش کۆتایی' (semi-finals of Pasha Cup). Regarding the first part of the statement, the semantic analysis of the temporal preposition 'له' (in) deals with the container schema, in which it indicates the relation between two entities: a Trajector (TR) and a Landmark (LM). 'ریال مه درید وهک رکابه رییک' is the TR, while the 'قۆناغی پیش کۆتایی جامی پاشا' is the LM of the preposition. Saeed (200: 358) says that the container schema can be used to investigate the semantics of the preposition 'له' (in). Thus, the container schema is used to show that the temporal preposition 'له' (in) is polysemous in nature. It denotes the relationship between an inside/interior 'ریال مه درید وهک رکابه رییک' and an outside/exterior 'قۆناغی پیش کۆتایی جامی پاشا' and the border in between 'له' (in). Therefore, TR is contained within the LM.

(۱۱) ئه گویرۆ له سالی ۲۰۲۱ کاتیک سیتی به جیهیشت، ئۆتۆمبیلکی جوړی رهنج پۆقه ری به بری ۴۰ ههزار پاوه ندی (Khabat/ 1st-Feb.-2023/ Football/ Issue (6465))

Lit./ Agoura in the year 2021 when City he left, a car of type Range Rover of an amount 40 thousand pounds he prized, employee an of the club he the car has taken.

Exp./ When Agoura left City in the year 2021, he won a Range Rover car valued at £40,000, that car was won by a club employee.

#### Blockage schema

In the first part of this statement, 'ئه گویرۆ له سالی ۲۰۲۱ کاتیک سیتی به جیهیشت' the time preposition 'له' (in) gives an identified notion of time that is defined by an identified period which is 'سالی ۲۰۲۱' (the year 2021). This analysis of the temporal preposition 'له' (in) expresses a blockage schema that "captures the understanding that the movement can be hindered/stopped (Hedblom, 2020, p. 40). Between the two entities: a Trajector (TR) and a Landmark (LM). 'ئه گویرۆ' is the TR, while the 'سالی ۲۰۲۱' (the year 2021) is the LM of the preposition 'له' (in). This represents that the physical departure of 'ئه گویرۆ' from the City team is being blocked and this made him lose the prize within the year 2021. This means that 'له' (in) is polysemous because it has different related meanings according to the time of the action by the doer.

(۱۲) میدفیدیف له دوو ههفتهی رابردوودا نازناوی پۆتهردام و قه ته ری کراوهی به دهسته پنا بوو، (Khabat/ 7th-March-2023/ Football/ Issue (6482))

Lit./ Medvedev in two weeks last the title of Rotterdam and Qatar Opens have been achieved.

Exp./ Medvedev has obtained the title of Rotterdam and Qatar Opens in the last two weeks.

#### Support schema

In this statement, 'میدفیدیف له دوو ههفتهی رابردوودا نازناوی پۆتهردام و قه ته ری کراوهی به دهسته پنا بوو' the time preposition 'له' gives the general notion of time that is defined by an unidentified period which is 'دوو ههفته' (two weeks). The semantic analysis of the temporal preposition 'له' (in) deals with the support schema,



in which it refers to the achievement of 'میدفیدبڤ' that is supported through getting the amazing famous titles of 'رۆتهردام و قهتهرى كراوهى' within the limited period (the last two weeks). This means that 'له' (in) is polysemous because it has different related meanings according to the time of occurring the action.

(۱۳) رۆژنامه كه ئاماژهى به وه كردوو، ئه وهى ئه و ياريزانه ئه لئه سر دهيكات، هانى كه سايه تيبه وه رزشييه كاني تر ده دات (Khabat7th-March-2023/ Football/ Issue (6482))

Lit./Newspaper that has mentioned, what the player Al-Nasr is doing, encourages the personality of players in future the to imitate him.

Exp./ The newspaper has pointed out that, what the Al-Nasr athlete is doing encourages the personality of other athletes to imitate him in the future.

#### Collection of schemas: Container schema and support schema

In the second part of this statement, 'هانى كه سايه تيبه وه رزشييه كاني تر ده دات له'، the temporal preposition 'له' (in) gives general notion of time that is defined by an unidentified period of time which is 'داهاتوو' (the future). This semantic analysis of the temporal preposition 'له' (in) expresses a collection of schemas; the container schema and the support schema, that deals with a "combination of image schemas co-exist to describe a concept, distinct from their own properties. These image schemas are not merged, they are simply grouped together to capture the conceptualization of the concept; that is, they provide relevant properties for the overall schema" (Hedblom, 2020, p. 105). Concerning the container schema, it indicates the relation between two entities: a Trajector (TR) and a Landmark (LM). Also, the container schema can be used to show that the temporal preposition 'له' (in) is polysemous in nature, in which this type of schema is formed in the mind based on human body experiences. It consists of three parts: inside, outside, and border dividing the first from the second (Hamawand, 2023, p. 127). Thus, 'ياريزانى' is the TR/inside, while the 'كه سايه تيبه وه رزشييه كاني تر' is the LM/outside of the preposition that is the border between them statement. Also, the semantic analysis of the temporal preposition 'له' (in) deals with the support schema, in which it indicates that the personality of other athletes 'كه سايه تيبه وه رزشييه كاني' that is supported by the encouragement and/or support of Al-Naser's athlete 'هانى ياريزانى ئه لئه سر' within an unidentified period (the future). This means that 'له' (in) is polysemous because it has different related meanings according to the time of doing the action.

(۱۴) پاریس له یارییه که ی به رامبه ر سیریزۆ ئوکاسا دوو جار پیشکه وتنی کردبوو، به لام له پاراستنی پیشکه وتنه که ی، ته نها دۆرا دواى ئه وهى شينجى كاگاوا له خوله كى ۷۹ گۆلى سييه مى ئوكاسا تۆمار كرد.

(Khabat/ 31st-July-2023/ Football/ Issue (6554))

Lit./ Paris in the game the opposite Serizo Okasa twice had won, but in defending victory, he only lost after that Shinji Kagawa in a minute of 79 goals the third of Okasa scored.

Exp./ Paris had won twice against Cerizo Okasa in his game, but it only lost in preserving its progress after Shinji Kagawa scored Okasa's third goal in the 79<sup>th</sup> minute.

#### Scale schema

The time preposition 'in' in the third part of the statement 'پاریس ته نها دۆرا دواى ئه وهى شينجى كاگاوا له خوله كى' gives a specific notion of time that is defined by a specific period which is '۷۹ گۆلى سييه مى ئوكاسا تۆمار كرد' (the seventy-ninth minute). The semantic analysis of the temporal preposition 'له' (in) deals with the scale schema to show that the preposition 'له' (in) is polysemous in nature, that "deals with how objects size ranges from small to large, as well as the dynamic transformation of growing/shrinking" (Hedblom, 2020, p. 40). Also, Hamawandi (20232, p. 130) states that "a scaling schema refers to an increase or decrease in one



thing that causes or correlates with an increase or decrease in another thing”. Thus, the temporal preposition ‘له’ (in) deals with the process of measuring the progress of Paris team for scoring goals during the match ‘دوای ئه وهى’ is decreased after the athlete Sinji Kagawa had scored the third goal for Okasa team ‘دوای ئه وهى’ within a specific period of time ‘خوله كى ٧٩’ (the seventy-ninth minute). Hence, the process of measuring the degree of ‘progress of scoring by the athlete Shinji Kagawa’/(TR) is increased rather than the opposite team ‘Paris team’/(LM) within this identified minute. Hence, the meaning of the preposition ‘له’ (in) in the third part is different from the ‘له’ (in) in the first and second parts of the statement that clearly indicates ‘له’ (in) has different related meanings of the same preposition used within one statement.

(١٥) ئه ستيړه ئه لمانبييه كه له م هاوینه ی ئیستادا په یوه ندى به یانه ی بهر شه لونه كړد، كه له یانه ی مانچستر سیتی وه (Khabat/ 10th-August-2023/ Football/ Issue (6561))

Lit./ Star German the in this summer present join by club Barcelona did, that from Manchester City came, by transfer free.

Exp./ this present summer, The German star joined Barcelona club, he came from Manchester City club, by a free transfer.

#### Collections of schema: Container schema and Link schema

In the first part of this statement, ‘ئه ستيړه ئه لمانبييه كه له م هاوینه ی ئیستادا په یوه ندى به یانه ی بهر شه لونه كړد’ the temporal preposition ‘له’ (in) gives general notion of time that is defined by a general period of time which is ‘ئهم هاوینه ی ئیستا’ (this present summer). This semantic analysis of the temporal preposition ‘له’ (in) expresses a collection of schemas; the container schema and the support link schema, that deals with a “combination of image schemas co-exist to describe a concept, distinct from their own properties. These image schemas are not merged, they are simply grouped together to capture the conceptualization of the concept; that is, they provide relevant properties for the overall schema” (Hedblom, 2020, p. 105). Concerning the container schema, it indicates the relation between two entities: a Trajector (TR) and a Landmark (LM). Also, the container schema can be used to show that the preposition ‘له’ (in) is polysemous in nature, in which this type of schema is formed in the mind based on human body experiences. It consists of three parts: inside, outside, and border dividing the first from the second (Hamawand, 2023, p. 127). Thus, ‘ئه ستيړه ئه لمانبييه كه’ (The German star) is the TR/inside, while the ‘هاوینی ئیستا’ (present summer) is the LM/outside of the preposition ‘له’ (in) that is the border between them. Also, this temporal preposition ‘له’ (in) of the first part of this statement ‘ئه ستيړه ئه لمانبييه كه له م هاوینه ی ئیستادا په یوه ندى به یانه ی بهر شه لونه كړد’ deals with link/linkage schema that refers to “an enforced connection between objects or regions, where transitivity ensures that the linked object reacts to the stimuli of the other object”. Also, “just like the more complete version of support schema, a more complex link can be created by combining attraction-link with chain-link into a concept in which the chain pulls on the connected objects” (Helbom, 2020, p. 40, 60-61). The analysis of the temporal preposition ‘له’ (in) indicates that link schema consists of two entities, connected physically or metaphorically, in which the preposition ‘له’ (in) links the physical entity ‘ئه ستيړه ئه لمانبييه كه’ with the conceptual entity ‘یانه ی بهر شه لونه’ that is accomplished within an unidentified period of time (the present future). This means that ‘له’ (in) is polysemous because it has a distinct related meaning from the ‘له’ (in) found in the second part of this statement.

(١٦) ههفته ی رابردوو بانديكى كۆلۆمپی باوك و داىكى ديازبان پفاند، ئه مه ش واىكرد ئه و یاریزانه له یارییه كانی نیوان تۆتینگام فۆرپست له خوله كه و بۆرمۆس له جامی خولدا به شداری نه كات، پیش ئه وهى داىكى ئازاد بكریت.

(Khabat/ 9th-November-2023/ Football/ Issue (6624))



Lit./ Week last band a Colombian father and mother of Diaz were kidnapped, this made that player in games the between Tottenham and Forest in the course the and Born moss in Cup, of course, do not participate, before that mother his set free.

Exp./ Last week, a Colombian band kidnapped Diaz's parents, this made that player not participate in the games between Tottenham and Forest in the round and Born Moss in the round's Cup, before his mother was released.

### **Blockage schema**

ئهو یاریزانه (دیاز) له یارییهکانی نیوان تۆتینگام فۆرێست له خولهکه و 'بۆرڤۆس له جامی خولدا به شداری نه کات' the temporal preposition 'له' (in) gives general notion of time that is defined by a general period of time which is 'خولهکه' (the round). The semantic analysis of the temporal preposition 'له' (in) expresses a blockage schema that "captures the understanding that the movement can be hindered/stopped (Hedblom, 2020, p. 40). Between the two entities: a Trajector (TR) and a Landmark (LM). 'یاریزانی (دیاز)' is the TR, while the 'خولهکه' (the round) is the LM of the temporal preposition 'له' (in). This represents that the hindrance behind the non-participation of 'یاریزانی (دیاز)' in the matches of the round's Cup is blocked because of his mother's kidnapping within the period of the round. This means that 'له' (in) is polysemous because it has different related meanings compared to the 'له' (in) found in the first part of the sentence.

### **Conclusion**

This paper determines the effective use of the cognitive linguistics approach 'images schemas' for showing the importance of identifying polysemy of Kurdish temporal preposition 'له' (in), such temporal preposition has two or more distinct related meanings of the same word (preposition). This can play a vital role in making non-native learners familiar with distinguishing polysemy of the same preposition that is used in different periods. The study shows the identification of polysemy of the Kurdish temporal preposition 'له' (in) through dealing with the most common and effective types of image schemas like container, part-whole, blockage, movement-along-path, support, scale, and as well as collections of image schemas and merging of image schemas that are indicated in Kurdish newspapers through which polysemy of the Kurdish temporal preposition 'له' (in) can show the existence of having two or more distinct meanings related to time. It is concluded that a preposition's core sense is determined in part by its image schema. It is identified that several image schemas may all play a role in the extension and/or construction of meaning for this temporal preposition. It is also concluded that the most frequently used preposition in both Kurdish newspapers in the field of sport is temporal preposition among other prepositions.

### **References**

- Abbas, M. A. & Sabir, Paiman. H.S. (2020). Prepositional Meaning in English and Kurdish. Journal of Language Studies. Vol. 4, No. 1, P. (85-114). University of Sulaimani.
- Ali, N.A. (1958). Qewaid' zman' kurd' le serfu nehuda (2nd ed.), Baghdad: Maarf Publishing.
- Amin, N. A. (2013). Kurdish Grammar. (4<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Rozhhalat Press/ Hawler.
- Dizayee, Abdul-wahid, M. (2014). Kurdish Grammar: Morphology and Syntax. Salahaddin University/ Hawler.
- Drozdowicz, Ariadna, M. (1998). A Semantic Analysis of the English Preposition IN. The US.
- Evans, Vyvyan. (2005). The Meaning of Time: Polysemy, the Lexicon, and Conceptual Structure, Journal of Linguistics, Cambridge Journals, Vol. 41, Issue 01, pp. 33-75.

- Evans. (2014). A Unified Account of Polysemy within LCCM Theory, Bangor University, UK.
- Falkum (2015) Polysemy: Current Perspectives and Approaches, University of Oslo.
- Fakhri, Nasren & Mokriani, Kurdistan. (1982). Kurdish Grammar. Salahaddin University Press.
- Hamawand, Zeki. (2023). English Stylistics: A Cognitive Grammar Approach. University of Kirkuk: Kirkuk, Iraq.
- Hedblom, M. M. (2020). Image Schemas and Concept Invention: Cognitive, Logical, and Linguistic Investigations, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Bolzano, Italy.
- Mackenzie, David N. 1961. *Kurdish Dialect Studies*. London: Oxford University Press.
- Muhammad, R.M. (2003). *Amrazû Bestneue le Zmanû Kurdûda*. Sulaimanyah.
- Tyler, A. & Evans V. (2003). *The Semantics of English Prepositions: Spatial Scenes, Embodied Meaning and Cognition*. USA: Cambridge University Press.

فرهواتای ئامرازی په یوه ندى کات «له» له رۆژنامه گهرى کوردیدا

## پوخته

ئهم تووژینه وهیه ئامانجی شیکردنه وهی فرهواتای ئامرازی په یوه ندى کوردی «له» بۆ کات له رپگه ی رپبازی زمانه وانى مه عریفی که پپی دهوتریت «شیماکان/شپوه کانی وینه» که له م تووژینه وهیه دا به کارهاتووه. ئامرازه په یوه ندى کانی کوردی که بۆ مامه له کردن له گه ل ئه و پرسانه ی که له رۆژنامه دیجیتالییه کانی کوردی کۆکراونه ته وه له بواری وهرزدا له بواری توپی پی به کارده هپنرین، وه ک رۆژنامه کانی هه ولیر وخه بات. ئامانجی تووژینه وه که ده ستینشان کردنی فرهواتای ئهم جوړه ئامرازه په یوه ندى یانه/پیشگرانه له رۆژنامه ی کوردیدا، شیکردنه وهی واتا و کارکردنی فرهواتای پیشگره کان و دۆزینه وهی جیاوازی له فریکوینسی له فرهواتا له ئامرازی په یوه ندى کات «له». داتا کان به پپی دیاریکردنی زۆترین وجۆره باوه کانی شیماکانی وینه شیکاری ده کرین که ده توانن رهنگدانه وهی ناسینه وهی فرهواتای پیشگره کانی کات له زمانى کوردیدا که له سه رچاوه باسکراوه کانه وه کۆکراونه ته وه. له کۆتاییدا، تووژینه وه که باوترین وکاربگه رترین جوړه کنی شیماکانی وینه وه ک ده فر، به ش-ته واو، بلۆک کردن، سه رچاوه-ریره وه-ئامانج، پیوانه، پشتگیری نیشان ده دات و هه ره وه ها کۆمه یک له شیماکانی وینه و تیکه لکردنی شیماکانی وینه که له رۆژنامه کوردیه کاندای ئاماژه یان پیکراوه که له رپگه یانه وه فرهواتای ئه و ئامرازه په یوه ندى یه ی کات بدۆز رپته وه بۆ ئه وهی نیشان بدات که ئهم جوړه فرهواتای پیشگره ی «له» دوو یان زیاتر واتای جیاوازی په یوه ندى یه یه هیه. جگه له وهش، به و ده رته نجامه ده رکه وتووه ئه و ئامرازه په یوه ندى یه ی که زۆترین جار له هه ردوو رۆژنامه ی دیجیتالی کوردیدا له بواری وهرزدا به کارده هپنریت ئه ویش ئامرازی په یوه ندى کاته له نپوان ئامرازه کانی په یوه ندى تر دا.

**وو شه سه ره کییه کان: فرهواتا، ئامرازی په یوه ندى، واتاسازی مه عریفی، و رۆژنامه گهری.**



## خلاصة البحث

يهدف هذا البحث إلى تحليل تعدد المعاني لحرف الجر الكوردي «في» للزمن من خلال النهج اللغوي المعرفي الذي يسمى 'مخططات الصور' المستخدم في هذه الدراسة. تستخدم حروف الجر الكوردية للتعامل مع الأعداد التي تم جمعها من الصحف الكوردية الرقمية في المجال الرياضي في مجال كرة القدم، مثل جريدتي ههولير وخهبات. تهدف الدراسة إلى التعرف على تعدد المعاني لحرف الجر الزمني «في» في الجرائد الكوردية، وتحليل المعنى ووظيفة تعدد معاني حرف الجر الزمني «في». ويتم تحليل البيانات وفقاً لتحديد أكثر الأنواع الشائعة لمخططات الصور التي يمكن أن تعكس تحديد تعدد معاني حرف الجر الزمني في اللغة الكوردية والتي تم جمعها من المصادر المذكورة أعلاه. وأخيراً، توضح الدراسة الأنواع الأكثر شيوعاً وفعالية لمخططات الصور مثل: الإحتواء، جزء-كامل، الإنسداد/التوقف، مصدر-مسار-هدف، المقياس، والدعم، وكذلك مجموعات من مخططات الصور ودمج مخططات الصور المشار إليها في الجرائد الكوردية التي يتم من خلالها التعرف على تعدد المعاني لحرف الجر الزمني لإظهار بأن تعدد المعاني لحرف الجر يتألف من اثنين أو أكثر من المعاني المختلفة ذات الصلة. إضافة إلى ذلك، تم إستنتاج أن حرف الجر الأكثر إستخداماً في كل من الصحف الرقمية الكوردية في مجال الرياضة هو حرف الجر الزمني من بين حروف الجر الأخرى.

**الكلمات المفتاحية: تعدد المعاني، حروف الجر، الدلالات المعرفية، والصحافة.**